Minor intron splicing is regulated by FUS and affected by ALS-associated FUS mutants
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Abstract

Fused in sarcoma (FUS) is a ubiquitously expressed RNA-binding protein proposed to function in various RNA metabolic pathways, including transcription regulation, pre-mRNA splicing, RNA transport and microRNA processing. Mutations in the FUS gene were identified in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), but the pathomechanisms by which these mutations cause ALS are not known. Here, we show that FUS interacts with the minor splicing-some constituent U11 snRNP, binds preferentially to minor introns and directly regulates their removal. Furthermore, a FUS knockout in neuroblastoma cells strongly disturbs the splicing of minor intron-containing mRNAs, among them mRNAs required for action potential transmission and for functional spinal motor units. Moreover, an ALS-associated FUS mutant that forms cytoplasmic aggregates inhibits splicing of minor introns by trapping U11 and U12 snRNAs in these aggregates. Collectively, our findings suggest a possible pathomechanism for ALS in which mutated FUS inhibits correct splicing of minor introns in mRNAs encoding proteins required for motor neuron survival.
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Introduction

Dysfunctional RNA metabolism is implicated in a wide variety of neurodegenerative diseases, and many mutations leading to neurodegeneration have been identified in proteins with roles in RNA metabolism (Duan et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2014). For example, mutations in the gene fused in sarcoma (FUS), which encodes an ubiquitously expressed nuclear RNA-binding protein of the hnRNP family, were identified in patients with an inherited form of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS; Kwiatkowski et al., 2009; Vance et al., 2009). ALS is a fatal, adult-onset neurodegenerative disease that selectively kills brain and spinal cord motor neurons. While most cases appear to be sporadic (sALS), 10% of cases are inherited (familial ALS, fALS). Approximately 4–5% of fALS and some sALS cases are due to mutations in FUS (Lagier-Tourenne & Cleveland, 2009; DeJesus-Hernandez et al., 2010).

Fused in sarcoma mRNA consists of 15 exons encoded on chromosome 16 (Aman et al., 1996) and gives rise to a 526-amino acid-long protein. The FUS protein contains a prion-like N-terminal glutamine, glycine, serine and tyrosine rich (Q/G/S/Y) domain, which is conserved within the FET family of proteins (FUS, EWS and TAF15) and is required for homo- or heterodimer formation among them. Furthermore, FUS contains three arginine/glycine/glycine (RGG\textsubscript{1,3}) domains, a RNA recognition motif (RRM) and a zinc finger (Zn). These domains were associated with DNA and RNA binding. Furthermore, FUS comprises a predicted nuclear export signal (NES) embedded in the RRM and a C-terminal nuclear localization signal (NLS) (Iko et al., 2004; Kwiatkowski et al., 2009; Dormann et al., 2010; Thomsen et al., 2013).

Most reported FUS-linked ALS-causing mutations are missense mutations clustered in the highly conserved C-terminal NLS (Dormann et al., 2010). Depending on the mutation, this leads to almost abolished or significantly reduced nuclear import of FUS and to the formation of FUS aggregates in the cytoplasm of neurons and glial cells of ALS patients (Bentmann et al., 2013), indicating that these mutations either lead to a loss of function in the nucleus, to a gain of function in the cytoplasm or to a combination of both (Wang et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2015).

Fused in sarcoma has been implicated to function in several steps of gene expression. It regulates the transcription through the interaction with RNA polymerases (RNAP) II and III and several transcription factors (Uranishi et al., 2001; Li et al., 2010; Tan & Manley,
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2010; Schwartz et al, 2012). Besides its functions in transcription, FUS was also identified as a splicing regulator based on its presence in spliceosomal complexes (Rappsilber et al, 2002; Zhou et al, 2002) and interactions with several splicing factors (Meissner et al, 2003) as well as with the U1 snRNP (Hackl et al, 1994; Yamazaki et al, 2012; Gerbino et al, 2013; Yu et al, 2015). Recent CLIP studies showed that FUS binds to nascent transcripts of many different pre-mRNAs, preferentially to long introns (Hoell et al, 2011; Rogelj et al, 2012), and splicing analysis in the embryonic brains of FUS-knockout mice revealed splicing changes in more than 300 genes (Lagier-Tourenne et al, 2012). However, the molecular mechanism by which FUS influences pre-mRNA splicing remained so far unknown.

To address the roles of FUS in RNA metabolism, we performed mass spectrometry analysis to identify high-confidence interactors of FUS. We found that minor spliceosome components are highly enriched among the FUS-interacting proteins. In line with this finding, FUS knockout affects predominantly the removal of minor introns. We subsequently confirmed that FUS is necessary for the efficient splicing of a subset of minor intron-containing mRNAs (so-called U12-type introns), among them members of the voltage-gated sodium channel family that are required for proper muscle function and post-natal maturation of spinal motor neurons (Jurkat-Rott et al, 2010; Porter et al, 1996). Our data reveal that FUS directly regulates the removal of minor introns through the direct interactions with the minor spliceosome at the 5′ splice site of these introns. We further show that the ALS-associated FUS-P525L mutation, which destroys the NLS and results in cytoplasmic retention of FUS (Dormann et al, 2010), fails to promote the efficient splicing of minor introns and causes mislocalization of the minor spliceosome components U11 and U12 snRNA to the cytoplasm. Collectively, our findings identify a role of FUS in splicing of minor introns, many of which occur in genes with neuronal functions, and imply that a loss of this nuclear function might contribute to the development of ALS. Intriguingly, our results suggest a possible mechanistic link between FUS-linked and TDP-43-linked ALS, as well as spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) in the light that all three neurodegenerative diseases exhibit a diminished minor spliceosome function (Lotti et al, 2012; Ishihara et al, 2013).

Results

Identification of FUS-interacting proteins

In order to unravel the molecular pathways involving FUS, a mass spectrometry-based interactome analysis was performed. Since FUS binds RNA (Zinszner et al, 1997), the interactome analysis was carried out with or without RNase treatment of the cell lysates to distinguish between protein-to-protein interactions and interactions mediated by RNA. Immunoprecipitations (IPs) were performed from HEK293T cell lysates transiently expressing Flag-tagged wild-type FUS or Flag-EBFP (enhanced blue fluorescent protein) as a control (Fig 1A). While the control IPs were essentially devoid of co-precipitating proteins (lanes 3, 5 and 7), many proteins were detected on a Coomassie-stained gel in the Flag-FUS IP performed under low stringency conditions (150 mM NaCl washes) and in the absence of RNase (lane 4). Treatment of the lysates with RNase A prior to the IP reduced the number of bands, indicating that many interactions are RNA-mediated (compare lanes 4 and 6). Repetition of the IPs with RNase-treated lysates under more stringent washing conditions (750 mM NaCl) to identify those proteins that associated with FUS with the highest affinity further reduced the number of detectable bands on the gel (lane 8).

The gel lanes were then excised and processed for in-gel tryptic digestion before liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry analysis (LC-MS/MS). Proteins detected in the control IP, which represent unspecific interactors with the anti-Flag matrix, were eliminated from further analysis. A total of 587 potential interactors were identified, 444 of which were only detected under low stringency conditions and in the absence of RNase, and 97 of those were also identified in the RNase-treated sample (Fig 1B). Of these 97 proteins, 40 were even detected under high stringency conditions, identifying them as relatively stable and RNA-independent interactors of FUS with high confidence. The results derive from two biological replicates for each condition and only proteins detected in both were considered. The proteins identified under all three conditions are listed in Appendix Table S1.

Gene ontology (GO) analysis was performed for the 40 proteins co-immunoprecipitating with FUS under all three conditions to reveal the most enriched biological processes and cellular components involving these genes. Statistically highly significantly enriched were biological processes related to RNA metabolism, in particular RNA splicing (Fig 1C). This aspect is also evident in the cellular component analysis, where the terms “spliceosomal complex” and “U12-type spliceosomal complex” are highly enriched (Fig 1D).

FUS interacts with the U11 snRNP

Because of the GO analysis pointing towards spliceosomal complex and previous evidence for an interaction of FUS with U1 snRNP (Hackl et al, 1994; Yamazaki et al, 2012; Sun et al, 2015; Yu et al, 2015), we performed RNA-IPs from HeLa nuclear extract using anti-FUS antibodies, or anti-BSA antibodies as negative control, followed by RT–qPCR to test for U1 snRNA enrichment. Consistent with the published data, U1 snRNA was strongly enriched in the FUS IP. Intriguingly, and in line with the GO analysis of the mass spectrometry results (Fig 1D), the most enriched U snRNA was the U11 snRNA, a member of the minor spliceosome (also called U12-type spliceosome) and constituent of the U11/U12 di-snRNP (Fig 2A and Appendix Fig S1A). These results are in accordance with the previous findings reporting the presence of FUS in the human spliceosomal complexes E, A and B, in which the U1 and U11 snRNPs are present, but not in the B0 and C complexes, a stage at which U1 and U11 snRNPs have left the pre-mRNA (Hartmuth et al, 2002; Deckert et al, 2006; Behzadnia et al, 2007). The results further indicate that the observed enrichment of U5 snRNA in our FUS-RNA-IPs is unlikely to be direct, because U5 snRNA is present throughout the entire splicing cycle, whereas FUS is confined to the early spliceosome assembly stages (Will & Luhrmann, 2011). We therefore did not further investigate the U5 snRNA association with FUS, but instead focused on the interaction of the minor spliceosome component U11 snRNA with FUS.
The interaction of FUS with U11 snRNP was validated by performing pull-downs using biotinylated antisense oligonucleotides against U11 snRNA. The U11 snRNP pull-downs were not only enriched for the U11/U12 di-snRNP-specific factor U11-59K and the common spliceosomal Sm ring component SmD3, but also for FUS and for hnRNP H (Fig 2B). hnRNP H is required for optimal U11 snRNP binding to certain transcripts (McNally et al., 2006) and is one of the conserved FUS interactors (Appendix Table S1, Appendix Fig S1B). In agreement with the previously published data (Sun et al., 2015), we also co-purified FUS in U1 snRNP pull-downs (Appendix Fig S2).

To confirm that these interactions take place in situ and do not arise from rearrangements in the extracts after cell lysis, we performed proximity ligation assays (PLA): HeLa cells fixed with paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with Triton X-100 were incubated with antibodies recognizing FUS together with antibodies...
Expression and splicing of minor intron-containing genes is strongly disturbed in the absence of FUS

To test the influence of FUS on gene expression and splicing at a genome-wide level and to identify the potential targets of FUS, we generated FUS-knockout SH-SY5Y (FUS KO SH-SY5Y) cells and assessed the alterations in splicing by high-throughput sequencing. These FUS KO SH-SY5Y cells were generated by targeting the first intron of the FUS gene with CRISPR/Cas9 and co-transfection of a donor plasmid harbouring a Zeocin resistance cDNA for homology recombination. The Zeocin cDNA is preceded by a chimeric donor plasmid harbouring a Zeocin resistance cDNA for homology recombination. The Zeocin cassette is followed by the strong SV40 polyadenylation signal that leads to premature polyadenylation of the FUS mRNA and the expression of the Zeocin resistance marker (Fig 3A). The absence of FUS mRNA isolated from individual Zeocin-resistant cell clones was verified by RT-qPCR (data not shown), and for the two selected FUS KO clones, the absence of FUS protein was demonstrated by Western blotting (Fig 3B). From these two clonal cell lines and from wild-type SH-SY5Y cells, we then extracted total RNA and performed mRNA-seq.

The sequencing data confirmed that in the FUS KO cells, transcription of the FUS gene is terminated as intended in intron 1 where the artificial Zeocin-encoding exon with the SV40 polyadenylation signal was introduced (Fig 3C). To address whether minor intron-containing genes are differentially expressed in the FUS KO SY-SY5Y cells, we performed a gene-level analysis on the mRNA-seq data. Among the differentially expressed genes, those harbouring a minor intron were indeed enriched (Fig 4A). Two-thirds of the differentially expressed minor intron-containing genes were downregulated and one-third was upregulated, respectively (Fig 4B and Appendix Fig S4A). The top-30 downregulated and top-30 upregulated genes are shown in Appendix Tables S3 and S4, respectively. Furthermore, we validated selected genes from these lists with a special focus on genes with indicated roles in neuronal development, function and survival by RT-qPCR (Appendix Fig S4B and C).

Performing a custom analysis on splicing efficiency (described in Materials and Methods), we detected more than 400 introns that are differentially spliced in the FUS-depleted cells. Whereas only a very small fraction of major introns were found to be differentially spliced in the FUS-depleted cells, more than 30% of the minor introns that are expressed in SH-SY5Y cells exhibit differential splicing (Fig 4C). In fact, minor introns are highly overrepresented among the differentially spliced introns compared to the numbers one would expect if major intron splicing and minor intron splicing were affected to the same extent by FUS depletion (Fig 4D). The finding that splicing of minor introns is much stronger affected than the splicing of major introns by the absence of FUS is in line with the preference of FUS towards the minor spliceosome constituent U11 snRNP and the GO analysis of the spectrometry results. While the gene-level analysis showed both up- and downregulation of minor intron-containing genes in response to FUS depletion, the majority of the minor introns detected by our differential splicing analysis are spliced more efficiently, which would suggest that FUS mainly functions as a splicing inhibitor. However, the underrepresentation of transcripts whose splicing is promoted by FUS can be attributed to their rapid degradation by the nuclear exosome that was shown to specifically degrade mRNAs with retained minor introns (Niemela et al., 2014). Additionally, mRNAs with a retained intron that escape decay by the nuclear exosome and are exported to the cytoplasm very likely will harbour premature termination codons and hence be degraded by the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) pathway (Schweinruber et al., 2013). Hence, the actual number of affected minor introns might be even higher than estimated by our splicing efficiency analysis, because aberrant mRNAs with retained minor introns would most likely undergo a rapid degradation and therefore not be easily detectable in the FUS-depleted SH-SY5Y cells.

To examine whether these effects of FUS on splicing of minor introns can also be observed in mice, we re-analysed published mRNA-seq data from mouse brain (Lagier-Tourenne et al., 2012). Corroborating the results from the human neuroblastoma cells, we found that the splicing of minor introns tends to be more affected by FUS depletion than the splicing of major introns also in mouse brain (Appendix Fig S4D). The abundance of many minor intron-containing mRNAs was altered upon FUS depletion and overall mRNAs harbouring at least one minor intron were more affected by...
FUS depletion than mRNAs containing exclusively major introns even in mouse brain (Appendix Fig S4E).

Given the strong effect of FUS on minor intron splicing, we next tested whether FUS preferentially associates with minor intron-containing RNA. We performed RNA immunoprecipitations (RIPs) with endogenous FUS from SH-SY5Y cells and observed that the proportion of minor intron-containing genes was significantly higher among the RNAs enriched in our FUS-RIP compared to the mRNAs that were not significantly enriched or those that were even underrepresented in the FUS-RIP, indicating a higher affinity of FUS for minor introns relative to major introns (Appendix Fig S4F).

**FUS acts like a classical hnRNP on minor intron-containing reporter genes**

To investigate whether the observed splicing effects on minor introns are a direct consequence of the FUS depletion, we used the well-studied p120-derived minor intron minigene version in which...
the hnRNP H-binding G-rich tracts that are required to recruit the minor spliceosome were replaced by MS2 binding sites (p120-MS2bs) (McNally et al., 2006; Fig 5A). The pre-mRNA with the MS2 binding sites is spliced very inefficiently unless a factor promoting the recruitment of the minor spliceosome (e.g. hnRNP H) is tethered to the MS2 binding sites by expressing it as a fusion protein with the

Figure 4. FUS depletion deregulates splicing of minor introns.
From four biological replicates of FUS KO SH-SYSY clones A4 and A5 as well as wild-type cells, poly(A)-selected cDNAs were prepared and sequenced on a Illumina HiSeq3000 machine and the data were analysed as described in Materials and Methods.

A Analysis of RNA-seq results focusing on the transcripts of genes containing at least one minor intron. Genes were divided into two categories depending on whether they were differentially expressed upon FUS KD (DEG) or whether their expression was not affected (non-DEG). The percentage of genes with at least one minor intron is depicted for both categories. Compared to the non-DEG, the % of minor intron-containing genes is significantly enriched in the DEG. Effect size: 1.65, P-value: 3.49e-10.

B Histogram of differentially expressed minor intron-containing genes. Genes are grouped according to the level of differential expression. Approximately two-thirds of the affected genes are downregulated in the absence of FUS.

C Bar plot showing the percentage of differentially spliced introns among all expressed introns. Major and minor introns are displayed separately. More than 30% of minor introns are differentially spliced under FUS knockout, whereas only a small subset of the major introns are affected.

D The cumulative plot shows the abundance of minor splice sites among the most differentially expressed splice sites in the RNA-seq data from the FUS KO SH-SYSY cells. The most differentially expressed sites (ranked from left to right) are depicted on the x-axis, while the y-axis shows the number of minor splice sites among them. The black line represents the distribution observed in the RNA-seq data. This distribution was compared to what would be expected if minor and major splice sites were equally affected by the FUS knockout. This hypothetical distribution (shown in green) was computed with a hypergeometric function. This analysis reveals a high enrichment for minor splice sites, indicating that the usage of minor splice sites is more often altered upon FUS KO relative to the usage of major splice sites.
MS2 coat protein (MS2CP) (McNally et al., 2006). We co-expressed FLAG-tagged FUS without the MS2CP moiety and MS2-EGFP as negative controls as well as MS2-hnRNP H and MS2-FUS together with p120-MS2bs minigene in HeLa cells, confirmed their expression by Western blot (Fig 5B) and analysed their effect on the splicing of this minigene by RT–qPCR to determine the ratio of spliced to unspliced reporter mRNA as a measure for splicing efficiency. Neither the tethering of MS2-EGFP nor the expression of FLAG-tagged FUS without the MS2CP moiety led to a significant increase in splicing, whereas tethering of MS2-hnRNP H or MS2-FUS led to a more than 35- and 55-fold increase in the ratio of spliced to unspliced mRNA, respectively (Fig 5C), indicating that FUS plays a direct role in recruiting the U11/U12 di-snRNP to minor introns.

Using the tethering system, we next set out to identify the parts of FUS required for promoting splicing. We created a series of truncation and deletion mutants of FUS, all comprising an N-terminal MS2CP fusion and the C-terminal FUS NLS to assure nuclear localization (Fig 5D). After co-expression of the MS2CP fusion proteins with the p120-MS2bs, we analysed their expression by Western blot (Fig 5E) and tested their ability to promote the splicing of the minor intron (Fig 5F). Compared to the splicing activity obtained by tethering of full-length FUS, deletion of the first 284 amino acids (the QGSY-rich region) were sufficient for promoting p120 intron (Fig 5F). Compared to the splicing activity obtained by tethering of full-length FUS, deletion of the first 284 amino acids (the QGSY-rich region) were almost completely abrogated the capacity of FUS to promote splicing of the p120 intron. A series of C-terminal truncations identified that the first 165 amino acids (the QGSY-rich region) were sufficient for promoting p120 intron removal. Importantly, the FET binding motif (FETbm), which mediates homo- and heterodimer formation between the FET family members (Thomsen et al., 2013), is dispensable for the splicing activity conveyed by the 1–165 FUS fragment, indicating that the attraction of the minor spliceosome does not depend on an interaction with the other FET family members.

Besides promoting splicing, the mRNA-seq data indicated that FUS also inhibits the splicing efficiency of several minor introns. Therefore, we wondered whether FUS might function like a classical hnRNP: binding of an hnRNP within an intron usually enhances splicing, while binding within an exon inhibits splicing of the adjacent intron or leads to exon skipping. For major introns, it was already reported that tethering of hnRNPs into introns can promote their splicing and that tethering of the same hnRNPs into the corresponding exons can inhibit the splicing of these introns (Erkelenz et al., 2013). To test this position-dependent splicing function concept on minor introns, we generated a version of the p120 minigene in which we inserted the MS2 binding sites into the exon 5’ of the intron (p120-MS2bs-ex7) (Fig 5G). Indeed, tethering of FUS into the exon of the p120 reporter strongly suppressed its splicing (Fig 5H and I). As for the promotion of splicing, the first 165 amino acids of FUS lacking the FETbm were also sufficient for splicing suppression. Taken together, these data show that FUS can directly promote or suppress splicing of minor introns depending on its binding position on the pre-mRNA.

**Minor intron-containing genes are deregulated in FUS-depleted cells**

Since we observed that many minor intron-containing genes are downregulated in the FUS KO SH-SY5Y cells (Fig 4B and Appendix Fig S4A), we assessed whether the inefficient splicing of the minor introns could account for the observed downregulation of these genes. To address this question, we took advantage of three already established minor intron-containing minigenes, namely the p120-derived minor intron minigene and the SCN4A and mouse SCN8A minor intron-derived minigenes (McNally et al., 2006; Howell et al., 2007; Fig 6A). Noteworthy, SCN8A and p120 are expressed in SH-SY5Y cells and both of them were downregulated in the FUS KO cells (Appendix Fig S4A). Moreover, the SCN4A and SCN8A genes are involved in several neurological and neuromuscular disorders and are required for the initiation and/or propagation of action potentials in the skeletal muscle and spinal motor neurons, respectively (Porter et al., 1996; Eijkelkamp et al., 2012).

We transfected HeLa cells with these minigenes along with knockdown-inducing pSUPuro plasmids expressing either a short hairpin (sh)RNA targeting the FUS mRNA (FUS KD) or an shRNA with a scrambled sequence as control knockdown (Ctr KD). Three days later, we analysed the FUS protein levels by Western blotting (Fig 6B). We observed that FUS depletion reduced the splicing efficiency of the minor intron in all three reporter genes (Fig 6C). Importantly, this effect was rescued by co-transfection of an expression plasmid encoding an RNAi-resistant version of FUS. We also validated whether the endogenous SCN4A mRNA is affected by FUS depletion. Since SCN4A is almost exclusively expressed in the skeletal muscle (Trimmer et al., 1989), we used the rhabdomyosarcoma cell line RH-30 for this experiment. Indeed, siRNA-induced
Figure 5.
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Figure 6. FUS promotes splicing of minor intron-containing reporter genes.

A  Schematic representation of the minor intron-containing p120, SCN4A and mSCN8A minigenes and their promoters (rous sarcoma virus [RSV] or cytomegalovirus [CMV]). HnRNP H-binding sites are depicted as GGGA.

B  Western blot analysis of FUS levels under control knockdown (lanes 1, 4, 7), FUS knockdown (FUS KD; lanes 2, 5, 8) and FUS rescue (lanes 3, 6, 9). HeLa cell extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting with anti-FUS antibodies (green) and anti-FLAG (red, upper part). The yellow signal in the upper part is due to the overlay of the red and the green channels. Tyrosine tubulin was detected as a loading control (red, lower part).

C  RT-qPCR results indicating the ratio of spliced to unspliced RNA from the indicated minigenes under control knockdown (Ctr KD), FUS KD and FUS KD followed by a rescue with an RNAi-resistant cDNA expression construct (Rescue). Average values and standard deviations are shown of nine biological replicates for p120 and SCN4A (five including the rescue condition) and of eight biological replicates for mSCN8A (all including the rescue). *P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.001.

Source data are available online for this figure.
knockdown of FUS decreased SCN4A mRNA in RH-30 cells (Appendix Fig S5).

Since all the aforementioned minigenes contain predicted hnRNP H-binding sites, we further tested whether hnRNP H is a prerequisite for FUS function in minor intron splicing. In contrast to mouse SCN8A, the human SCN8A minor intron lacks predicted hnRNP H-binding sites, but contains instead hnRNP M binding sites close to the 5' splice site (Appendix Fig S6A and B). Nonetheless, FUS depletion also led to a significant reduction in the splicing efficiency of the human SCN8A minigene (Appendix Fig S6C and D). Interestingly, hnRNP M is one of our high-confidence FUS interactors (Appendix Table S1), and its interaction with FUS was recently reported (Marko et al., 2014). This indicates that FUS might promote splicing of both hnRNP H- and hnRNP M-bound minor introns and possibly of introns bound by additional hnRNPs.

An ALS-associated FUS mutation inhibits minor intron splicing and causes mislocalization of U11 and U12 snRNA to the cytoplasm

The very C-terminus of FUS harbours the NLS (Fig 7A) and many ALS-associated mutations have been shown to affect the interaction of the NLS with transportin, leading to a reduced concentration of FUS in the nucleus (Dormann et al., 2010). The tethering-dependent splicing assay provided an opportunity to test whether the reduction in nuclear FUS concentration caused by the NLS-inactivating ALS-associated P525L mutation affects FUS’s function in splicing. To this end, we co-expressed MS2-tagged FUS-P525L with the p120-MS2hs reporter gene. As expected, immunofluorescence confirmed that the FUS-P525L localized mainly to the cytoplasm with only a small amount of protein still residing in the nucleus, in contrast to the almost exclusive nuclear localization of wild-type FUS (Fig 7B). When expressed at similar levels, MS2-FUS-P525L exhibited significantly less splicing activity than wild-type MS2-FUS in the tethering assay (Fig 7C and D). The splicing activity of the P525L mutant could be restored by appending an SV40 NLS to MS2-FUS-P525L (Fig 7A), which also restored the nuclear localization of the protein (Fig 7B), demonstrating that the reduced nuclear level of FUS P525L was responsible for its failure to efficiently promote p120 intron splicing.

Since recent studies showed that the U1 and U2 snRNAs mislocalize upon the expression of ALS-associated FUS mutants that accumulate in the cytoplasm (Gerbino et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2015), we wondered whether the minor spliceosome components U11 and U12 snRNAs might be affected similarly. Indeed, we could confirm by fluorescence in situ hybridization combined with immunofluorescence that upon the expression of MS2-FUS-P525L but not MS2-FUS-wild type, the U11 (Fig 7E) and U12 snRNA (Fig 7F) co-localized with the mutant FUS to cytoplasmic granules, while their abundance appears to be reduced in the nucleus. Moreover, the first 165 amino acids of FUS, which are sufficient to promote or repress splicing in the tethered splicing assays, were also sufficient to mislocalize U11 as well as U12 snRNA into the cytoplasm (Appendix Fig S7A and B). This suggests that the ALS-associated mutations in the NLS of FUS contribute to the disease not only by the lack of nuclear FUS needed for correct splicing of a subset of FUS-regulated minor introns, but additionally by trapping U11 and U12 snRNA in the cytoplasm and therewith affecting the splicing of all minor introns.

Discussion

Collectively, our results demonstrate that FUS regulates the splicing of minor introns. FUS associates with several hnRNPs and with the U12-type spliceosomal complex (Figs 1 and 2, Appendix Figs S1 and S2 and Appendix Table S1). Knockout of FUS leads to a severe deregulation of minor intron splicing and its depletion inhibits splicing of minor intron-containing minigenes (Figs 4 and 6 and Appendix Fig S6A). Furthermore, we show that FUS directly promotes or represses splicing of minor introns when tethered into an intron or a preceding exon, respectively, thereby acting as a classical hnRNP (Fig 5). The analysis of our high-throughput sequencing data sets revealed that i) minor intron-containing mRNAs are more affected by FUS depletion and ii) minor intron-containing mRNAs are bound more often by FUS compared to major introns (Fig 4 and Appendix Fig S4). The ALS-associated FUS mutant P525L fails to promote minor intron splicing due to its mislocalization to the cytoplasm, which in turn traps U11 and U12 snRNAs in cytoplasmic FUS aggregates, causing a clearance of these factors from the nucleus (Fig 7). Our findings are graphically summarized in Fig 8 and are in agreement with the proposed two-hit model for FUS-associated ALS (Dormann et al., 2010). Under normal circumstances, most FUS resides in the nucleus and regulates the splicing of minor introns, possibly in conjunction with other hnRNPs. In the
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context of ALS-associated FUS NLS mutations (first hit), the mutant FUS re-localizes to the cytoplasm and its nuclear levels are reduced, which deregulates splicing of its direct targets. Once cytoplasmic FUS-containing aggregates form due to the repeated stress (second hit), increasing amounts of U11 and U12 snRNAs become trapped in these aggregates, leading to a shortage of minor spliceosome components in the nucleus and ultimately to a general inhibition of minor intron splicing.

Recent studies showed that U1 and U2 snRNAs also mislocalize to FUS-containing cytoplasmic aggregates upon the expression of ALS-associated FUS mutants (Gerbino et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2015). However, taking into account that U1 and U2 snRNAs are about threefold more abundant than FUS, whereas U11 and U12 snRNAs are >30-fold less abundant than FUS (Lerner & Steitz, 1979; Montzka & Steitz, 1988; Singh et al., 2015), the minor spliceosome is much more at risk of being functionally affected by the sequestration of U1 and U2 snRNAs into cytoplasmic FUS aggregates than the major spliceosome by sequestration of U1 and U2 snRNAs, respectively.

**Evidence for cooperation of hnRNPs and FUS in binding mRNA**

So far, several FUS-CLIP studies have been conducted (Hoell et al., 2011; Lagier-Tourenne et al., 2012; Rogelj et al., 2012; Nakaya et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013; Masuda et al., 2015), but no common sequence motifs could be identified. It was recently shown that FUS binds RNA in vitro in the higher nM range, but without apparent preference for a specific sequence or structure (Wang et al., 2015), begging the question how FUS gains specificity in vivo. Since a quarter of our identified high-confidence FUS interactors are hnRNPs (Appendix Table S1), one reason for the lack of a common consensus motif could be that FUS gains specificity through the interaction with its hnRNP partners and that different hnRNPs guide FUS to different binding elements. Such a cooperative binding mode with different hnRNPs would obscure the identification of individual specific binding motifs and could explain the failure to detect common consensus motifs among the different CLIP experiments. Consistent with such a cooperative mode of RNA binding, we observed that tethered hnRNP H requires FUS for the efficient splicing promotion, whereas tethered FUS still efficiently promoted the splicing in the absence of hnRNP H (Appendix Fig S8). This suggests that hnRNP H helps FUS to bind to the minor intron in order to have FUS available for minor spliceosome recruitment. This mode of action might also apply to other hnRNPs, for example hnRNP M.

**Implications of FUS’s role in minor intron splicing**

The minor spliceosome is essential for development (Otaki et al., 2002; Baumgartner et al., 2015), and dysfunctional minor splicing
FUS regulates splicing of minor introns

has been associated with several developmental and neurological disorders. In humans, mutations in the U4atac snRNA lead to the developmental disorder Taby-Linder syndrome (TALS), which is characterized by the growth retardation as well as central nervous system malformations (Pierce & Morse, 2012; Jafarifar et al., 2014). In spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), the levels of the U11/U12 di-snRNP and the minor tri-snRNP are reduced (Gabanela et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008; Bouli sfane et al., 2011), which affects the proper processing of minor intron-containing mRNAs coding for a protein required for motor circuit function (Lotti et al., 2012). Recent studies have shown that also in TDP-43-associated ALS, the integrity of the minor spliceosome is affected (Ishihara et al., 2013; Tsuji et al., 2013). Together with our findings, this suggests that ALS, SMA, as well as neurological defects observed in other diseases such as TALS, converge in that they all exhibit the defects in minor spliceosome function.

Strikingly, apart from the two most-affected minor intron-containing genes that encode for PPP2R2C and ACTL6B, which are required for the promotion of neurogenesis and dendritic development, respectively (Strack, 2002; Wu et al., 2007), a high amount of voltage-gated calcium as well as sodium channels are affected by the FUS depletion (Appendix Tables S3 and S4, Appendix Fig S4B and C). Intriguingly, two of the voltage-gated sodium channels that are directly regulated by FUS are required for action potential transmission and for functional spinal motor units. The first is SCN8A, which initiates and transmits action potentials in central neurons and their myelinated axons (Catterall et al., 2005). The loss of SCN8A expression results in skeletal muscle atrophy due to the loss of functional innervation (Duchen & Angaut-Petit et al., 1982; Kohrman et al., 1996). Additionally, SCN8A is essential for post-natal maturation of spinal motor units, but not oculomotor units (Porter et al., 1996). Intriguingly, the oculomotor neurons are spared from the observed neurodegeneration in ALS (Haenggeli & Kato, 2002). The second is SCN4A, which initiates and transmits the action potential in skeletal muscles. While for SOD1-associated ALS, the role of muscle cells in the pathomechanism remains controversial (Iliev a et al., 2009), our data provide evidence that hampered expression of genes with minor introns not only in motor neurons but also in muscle cells could contribute to the disease.

It remains to be elucidated whether minor intron splicing defects are a main cause or the last nudge over the edge for motor neuron death. While further investigations using patient material and in vivo models will be required to dissect the exact role of minor intron splicing in neurodegeneration, minor spliceosome defects appear to emerge as a common characteristic of several neurological disorders.

Materials and Methods

Detailed descriptions of oligonucleotides, plasmids, antibodies and of additional methods can be found in the Appendix.

Cell culture and plasmid transfections

HeLa, 293T and SH-SY5Y cells were cultivated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum (FCS), penicillin (100 IU/ml) and streptomycin (100 μg/ml) (DMEM +/+ ) and grown at 37°C and 5% CO2. Plasmid DNA transfections were performed with Dreamfect, Dogtor (OZ Biosciences) and Fugene HD (Promega), whereas siRNA transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Immunoprecipitations for mass spectrometric analysis

Total extracts from 293T cells transfected with pcDNA3-FUS-GSG15-FLAG or pcDNA3-EF BP-GSG15-FLAG were prepared either in the absence (RNase free) or in the presence of 0.2 mg/ml RNase A (RNase treated). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation (15 min at 16,100 g at 4°C) and the supernatant was incubated with anti-FLAG™ M2 affinity gel. The affinity gel was suspended in 1 ml NET-2 (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Triton X-100) and washed five times by subsequent suspension and centrifugation steps. For the high salt interactors (750 mM), the precipitates from RNase A-treated extracts were washed three times with NET-2 supplemented with NaCl to a final concentration of 750 mM. Finally, immunoprecipitated protein complexes were eluted with FLAG peptide, subjected to SDS–PAGE and analysed by mass spectrometry.

Sample preparation and mass spectrometric analysis

For in-gel tryptic digestion, the lanes of interest were excised from the Coomassie-stained gels and subdivided into 10 gel slices. Disulphide bonds were reduced with 10 mM dithiothreitol for 30 min at 56°C, alkylated with 55 mM iodoacetamide for 20 min at room temperature in the dark and digested overnight at 37°C with bovine trypsin (Sigma Aldrich) as previously described (Shevchenko et al., 2006). The resulting peptide mixture was desalted and concentrated using the C18 StageTips procedure as previously described (Rappsilber et al., 2007). Mass spectrometry analysis was performed by nano liquid chromatography coupled to tandem MS (nLC–ESI–MS/MS) using a LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) as follows: 5 μl of purified peptide mixture was injected into the chromatographic system (EasyLC, Proxeon Biosystems) and the peptides were separated on a 25-cm fused silica capillary column (75 μm inner diameter and 360 μm outer diameter, Proxeon Biosystems) filled with Reprosil-Pur C18 3 μm resin (Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch-Entringen, Germany) using a pressurized packing bomb. Peptides were eluted with a 95-min gradient from 7 to 70% of buffer B (80% ACN, 0.5% acetic acid) at a flow rate of 200 nl/min. The LC system was connected to the LTQ-Orbitrap equipped with a nano electrospray ion source. Full-scan mass spectra were acquired in the LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometer in the mass range m/z 350–1750 Da and with resolution set to 60,000. The lock-mass option was used to internally calibrate mass spectra for most accurate mass measurements. The 10 most intense doubly and multiply charged ions were automatically selected and fragmented in the ion trap with a CID set to 35%.

Peptides and proteins identification by database searching

Raw data files were analysed using the peptide search engine Andromeda, which is integrated into the MaxQuant software environment (version 1.5.2.8; Cox et al., 2011), with the following
parameters: uniprot_cp_hum_2015_01 as protein database, oxidation (M), acetyl (protein N-term), as variable modifications, carbamidomethyl (C) as fixed modifications, peptide false discovery rate (FDR) 0.01, maximum peptide posterior error probability (PEP) 1, protein FDR 0.01, minimum peptides 2, at least 1 unique, minimum length peptide 6 amino acids. Two biological replicates were performed for each immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry analysis. Only proteins identified in both replicates were selected for further analysis.

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis

Gene ontology terms enrichment analysis was performed with the PANTHER (Protein ANalysis THrough Evolutionary Relationships) classification system (Mi et al, 2013), using the gene names of the identified proteins as queries for the statistical overrepresentation test and the most updated Homo sapiens genes annotations as reference set. The electronically inferred annotations were excluded and only the overrepresented categories with a P-value < 0.05 are shown in the graphs.

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) from HeLa nuclear extracts

About 180 μl of protein G Dynabeads was coupled to 40 μg of FUS antibody in TBS–0.05% NP-40 for 2 h head over tail at 4°C, followed by two washes with TBS–NP-40 to remove uncoupled antibodies. To each sample, fresh TBS–NP-40 and 60 μl of HeLa nuclear extract (IPRACELL, Mons, Belgium) were added and incubated head over tail for 1.5 h at 4°C. After five washes with TBS–NP-40, the beads were resuspended in 1 ml TRIZOL and RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. As input, 60 μl of nuclear extract was directly added to 1 ml of TRIZOL; 2 μg of RNA were reverse-transcribed at 37°C in 50 μl containing 1 × small RNA RT buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8, 75 mM potassium, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 70 mM magnesium chloride, 0.8 mM anchored universal RT primer), 2 U/μl of RiboLock (Fermentas), 10 mM dNTPs, 2.5 mM rATP supplemented with 5 U of Escherichia coli poly(A) polymerase (New England Biolabs) and 1 μl of AffinityScript reverse transcriptase (Agilent). Reactions were heat-inactivated for 10 min at 85°C. Reverse-transcribed material corresponding to 18 ng of RNA was amplified with MESA GREEN qPCR Master Mix Plus for SYBR (Eurogentec) and the appropriate primers (600 nM each) in a total volume of 20 μl using the Rotogene 6000 (Corbett). Primer sequences are listed in Appendix Table S2.

Biotinylated antisense oligonucleotide pull-down

About 200 μl of magnetic streptavidin Dynabeads slurry (MyOne T1, Life Technologies) was washed three times with PBS and blocked for 30 min at 4°C in blocking buffer (250 μg/ml tRNA, 250 μg/ml glycogen, 250 μg/ml BSA in PBS); 400 μl of buffer D/+ (20 mM HEPEs pH 7.9, 100 mM KCl, 20% glycerol, 0.25 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 1× protease inhibitor, 1× phosphatase inhibitor, 0.01% NP-40) was supplemented with 100 μl of HeLa nuclear extract (HNE, Ipracel) and 200 pmol biotinylated U11 antisense oligonucleotide (ACGACAGAAGCCCUUUU-Bio-Bio, Microsynth) (Will et al, 1999). As a negative control, the antisense oligonucleotide was omitted. The oligonucleotides were hybridized for 45 min head over tail at 30°C. The reactions were cleared by centrifugation (16,100 g for 5 min), and the supernatants were transferred to new Eppendorf tubes; 100 μl of blocked streptavidin beads was added to each supernatant and incubated for 45 min at 4°C head over tail. Subsequently, the beads were washed five times with 1 ml of buffer D/+ supplemented with 0.05% NP-40. With the last wash, the beads were transferred to new Eppendorf tubes, wash buffer was removed and the beads were resuspended in 50 μl of 2× LDS-loading buffer, boiled for 10 min at 70°C and loaded on a 4–12% NuPAGE gel.

U12-dependent splicing under FUS-knockdown conditions

About 2.5 × 10^5 HeLa cells were seeded per well of a 6-well plate in DMEM+/+ (day 0). On day 1, the cells were co-transfected with 100 ng reporter construct and 500 ng pSU6Uro-FUS or pSU6Uro-scrambled, respectively, using Dogtor. For functional rescue experiments, 800 ng of pcDNA6-FUS-RNAI WT was co-transfected, in addition. On day 2, the cells were split into a T25 flask in DMEM+/+ containing 2 μg/ml puromycin (Santa Cruz). On day 3, the medium was replaced by DMEM+/+ without puromycin; 6–8 h later, the cells were harvested and the relative mRNA levels were quantified by RT–qPCR (described in the Appendix).

Tethered splicing assay

About 2.5 × 10^5 HeLa cells were seeded per well of a 6-well plate in DMEM+/+ (day 0). On day 1, the cells were co-transfected using Dogtor, 300–800 ng plasmid coding for the MS2-fusion protein (DNA amount depending on the expression of respective construct) and 100–400 ng reporter construct (400 ng only if co-transfected with plasmids encoding MS2-FUS P525L or MS2-FUS P525L NLS; to compare MS2-FUS with the two P525L variants, also pcDNA3.1(+) MS2-FUS was co-transfected with 400 ng reporter plasmid). Cells were split into a T25 flask on day 2 and harvested on day 3, and the relative mRNA levels were quantified by RT–qPCR.

To investigate tethered splicing under FUS KD conditions, HeLa cells were transfected with additional 500 ng pSU6Uro-FUS or pSU6Uro-scrambled, respectively, on day 1. On day 2, the cells were split into a T25 flask in DMEM+/+ containing 2 μg/ml puromycin (Santa Cruz). On day 3, the medium was replaced by DMEM+/+ containing no puromycin, and 6–8 h later, the cells were harvested.

To investigate tethered splicing under hnRNP H KD conditions, HeLa cells were co-transfected with 100 ng reporter construct, 500 ng plasmid coding for MS2-EGFP or MS2-FUS, respectively, and 100 pmol siRNA targeting hnRNP H or control siRNA (Appendix Table S2) using Lipofectamine 2000 on day 1 and a second time on day 3 of the experiment. On day 4, the cells were split into a T25 flask and harvested on day 5.

RIP from SH-SYSY cells coupled to high-throughput sequencing

Three times 1 × 10^7 SH-SYSY cells were lysed in a total of 5.1 ml hypotonic gentle lysis buffer supplemented with 2× protease inhibitor (Pierce), 1× PhosStop phosphatase inhibitor (Roche), 4 U/ml Turbo DNase and 0.2 U/μl SUPERasein. After 10-min incubation on ice, NaCl was adjusted to 150 mM, followed by another 5-min incubation on ice. The lysate was centrifuged at 16,100 g for 15 min at
Recent release (GRCh38.81). Additionally, the adjusted human genome and transcriptome file were taken from a more the FUS KO clones, with the following differences: the reference same pipeline was applied to the data obtained by the sequencing of intron was then investigated for an equal coverage. Furthermore, a strict filter on the number of reads was applied, removing sites with low expression and high variability. The software DESeq2 was finally employed to compute the significance of intron retention between WT and KO conditions. The program was run on the intron counts table, applying the normalization of the splice counts, thus analysing the unspliced/spliced ratio of every junction in a robust statistical set-up. The code is available as a Python package at the following address: https://github.com/Martombo/SpliceRatio.

Sequences obtained from Lagier-Tourenne et al (2012) were pre-processed with the program Trimomatic (Bolger et al, 2014) and mapped with TopHat to the mouse genome (GRCm38) guiding the process with a transcriptome file from Ensembl (GRCm38.78). The counting was performed with the Python package HTSeq and the differential expression analysis with DESeq2 as described above.

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) in combination with immunofluorescence**

HeLa cells transfected either with wild-type MS2-FUS or with MS2-FUS P525L expression plasmids were seeded in 8-chamber slides, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 70% EtOH. The exogenous FUS protein was stained with rabbit anti-MS2 antibody and a species-specific DyLight 594-coupled secondary antibody. Thereafter, the cells were post-fixed and U11 and U12 snRNA were detected by hybridization with 6-FAM-labelled antisense RNA probes. After subsequent washes to remove unbound probes, the slides were mounted with Vectashield containing DAPI and the images were acquired.

**Data availability**

**Primary data**

The mass spectrometry data from this publication have been submitted to the Peptide Atlas repository database and assigned the accession number PASS00730. The high-throughput sequencing data of the RNA-IP of FUS and of the FUS KO clones from this publication have been submitted to the GEO SRA database (Edgar et al, 2002) and assigned the identifier GSE71812.

**Referenced data**

RNA-seq data of FUS KD in mouse brains, published by Lagier-Tourenne et al (2012), were retrieved from the GEO SRA database, under the accession ID GSE40653.
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